

PHA Name : Rosenberg

PHA Code : TX483

MTW Supplement for PHA Fiscal Year Beginning : (MM/DD/YYYY): 10/1/2025

PHA Program Type: Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) only

MTW Cohort Number: MTW Flexibility for Smaller PHAs

MTW Supplement Submission Type: Annual Submission

B. MTW Supplement Narrative.

For Fiscal Year 2025 the Rosenberg Housing Authority will modify the Reexamination Waiver regarding Interim Reexams and will also request an Agency Specific Waiver regarding payment standards. If and when HIP is operational, then the RHA will begin implementing the following waivers which have already been approved in previous years: \$75 Minimum Rent, TTP as a percentage of Gross Rent Elimination of dependent allowance and childcare deduction.

The RHA started grouped SAFMR's for FY 2024 and have only seen our PORTS take advantage of the increased SAFMRs so far. This could be due to Tri-ennial Reexams and so most participants are not choosing to move unless there's a issue with the current landlord. There has also been an influx of potential landlords with the increased SAFMRs. Our weekly landlord briefings are becoming more crowded. Unfortunately, to this day, our VASH clients have not received approval from HUD field office to take advantage of these higher payment standards and are thus not allowed as much mobility as other HCV clients.

The RHA has achieved greater administrative efficiencies by changing all clients to 3 year reexams. This has freed up my case manager to more fully focus on individual client needs, IVTs , and improving processes and not just crank out re-exams.

The RHA will continue to follow our own Interim process that was previously approved and only do decreases for 20% or more. Increases will only be processed if any family has earned income less than \$10,000 x the number of adults in the household increasing by \$250 each year per adult. The RHA requests all families report their changes and the RHA determines whether the reporting requires an actual interim being processed. Families were confused with when to report and this eliminated the confusion.

The initial rent burden will remain at 45%. As a result of this increase we have continued to have a voucher success rate above 90% since implementing.

The RHA continues to exclude assets for income determination. This was always a tedious process when the majority of our families have no substantial assets. The assets and any imputed income is looked at for eligibility but not for the rent calculation.

C. The policies that the MTW agency is using or has used (currently implement, plan to implement in the submission year, plan to discontinue, previously discontinued).

| 1. Tenant Rent Policies | |
|---|--|
| b. Tiered Rent (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| d. Stepped Rent (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| f. Minimum Rent (HCV) | Plan to Implement in the Submission Year |
| h. Total Tenant Payment as a Percentage of Gross Income (HCV) | Plan to Implement in the Submission Year |
| j. Alternative Utility Allowance (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| l. Fixed Subsidy (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| n. Utility Reimbursements (HCV) | Currently Implementing |
| o. Initial Rent Burden (HCV) | Currently Implementing |
| q. Imputed Income (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| s. Elimination of Deduction(s) (HCV) | Plan to Implement in the Submission Year |
| u. Standard Deductions (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| w. Alternative Income Inclusions/Exclusions (HCV) | Currently Implementing |
| 2. Payment Standards and Rent Reasonableness | |
| a. Payment Standards- Small Area Fair Market Rents (HCV) | Currently Implementing |
| b. Payment Standards- Fair Market Rents (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| c. Rent Reasonableness – Process (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| d. Rent Reasonableness – Third-Party Requirement (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| 3. Reexaminations | |
| b. Alternative Reexamination Schedule for Households (HCV) | Currently Implementing |
| d. Self-Certification of Assets (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| 4. Landlord Leasing Incentives | |
| a. Vacancy Loss (HCV-Tenant-based Assistance) | Not Currently Implemented |
| b. Damage Claims (HCV-Tenant-based Assistance) | Not Currently Implemented |
| c. Other Landlord Incentives (HCV- Tenant-based Assistance) | Not Currently Implemented |
| 5. Housing Quality Standards (HQS) | |
| a. Pre-Qualifying Unit Inspections (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| b. Reasonable Penalty Payments for Landlords (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| c. Third-Party Requirement (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| d. Alternative Inspection Schedule (HCV) | Currently Implementing |
| 6. Short-Term Assistance | |
| b. Short-Term Assistance (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| 7. Term-Limited Assistance | |
| b. Term-Limited Assistance (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| 8. Increase Elderly Age (PH & HCV) | |
| Increase Elderly Age (PH & HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| 9. Project-Based Voucher Program Flexibilities | |
| a. Increase PBV Program Cap (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| b. Increase PBV Project Cap (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| c. Elimination of PBV Selection Process for PHA-owned Projects Without Improvement, Development, or Replacement (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| d. Alternative PBV Selection Process (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| e. Alternative PBV Unit Types (Shared Housing and Manufactured Housing) (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| f. Increase PBV HAP Contract Length (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| g. Increase PBV Rent to Owner (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| h. Limit Portability for PBV Units (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| 10. Family Self-Sufficiency Program with MTW Flexibility | |
| a.HCV Waive Operating a Required FSS Program (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| b. HCV Alternative Structure for Establishing Program | |

| | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Coordinating Committee (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| c.HCV Alternative Family Selection Procedures (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| d.HCV Modify or Eliminate the Contract of Participation (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| e.HCV Policies for Addressing Increases in Family Income (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| 11. MTW Self-Sufficiency Program | |
| a.HCV Alternative Family Selection Procedures (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| b.HCV Policies for Addressing Increases in Family Income (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| 12. Work Requirement | |
| b. Work Requirement (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| 13. Use of Public Housing as an Incentive for Economic Progress (PH) | |
| 14. Moving on Policy | |
| a. Waive Initial HQS Inspection Requirement (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| b.HCV Allow Income Calculations from Partner Agencies (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| c.HCV Aligning Tenant Rents and Utility Payments Between Partner Agencies (HCV) | Not Currently Implemented |
| 15. Acquisition without Prior HUD Approval (PH) | |
| 16. Deconcentration of Poverty in Public Housing Policy (PH) | |
| 17. Local, Non-Traditional Activities | |
| a. Rental Subsidy Programs | Not Currently Implemented |
| b. Service Provision | Not Currently Implemented |
| c. Housing Development Programs | Not Currently Implemented |

C. MTW Activities Plan that Rosenberg Plans to Implement in the Submission Year or Is Currently Implementing

| |
|--|
| 1.f. - Minimum Rent (HCV) |
| <p>Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative</p> <p>The housing authority did not implement this in the previous fiscal year due to the issues with waivers being accepted through PIC. If the new HIP system is operational, we will implement this year.</p> <p>In order to promote self-sufficiency for our families and cost effectiveness for the RHA, the RHA plans to increase the minimum rent for families to \$100 over the next 2 years. We will start with a \$25 increase the first year and then increase by another \$25 the next.</p> |
| <p>Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?</p> <p>Self-sufficiency</p> |
| <p>What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.</p> <p>Decreased expenditures</p> |
| <p>Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?</p> <p>The MTW activity applies to all assisted households</p> |
| <p>Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.</p> <p>Was unable to implement</p> |

| |
|---|
| Does this MTW activity require a hardship policy? |
| Provided Already |
| Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described? |
| No |
| Does the MTW activity require an impact analysis? |
| Provided Already |
| How much is the minimum rent or minimum Total Tenant Payment (TTP)? |
| \$75.00 |

| |
|---|
| 1.h. - Total Tenant Payment as a Percentage of Gross Income (HCV) |
| Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative |
| The HA will lower the percentage used to calculate rent to 27.5% of monthly gross income for all HCV participants and new admissions, and eliminate allowances and deductions (child care) with minimal impact on the participants rent portion. The HA will use the previous 12 months income and will not project forward. |
| Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve? |
| Cost effectiveness; Self-sufficiency |
| What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today. |
| Decreased expenditures |
| Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households? |
| The MTW activity applies only to a subset or subsets of assisted households |
| Does the MTW activity apply only to new admissions, only to currently assisted households, or to both new admissions and currently assisted households? |
| New admissions and currently assisted households |
| Does the MTW activity apply to all family types or only to selected family types? |
| The MTW activity applies only to selected family types |
| Please select the family types subject to this MTW activity. |
| Non-elderly, non-disabled families; Other – another specifically defined target population or populations. |
| If Other Selected in Previous Question: Please describe this target population in the text box. |
| Cannot apply to VASH because have not received the OK from the local field office. |
| Does the MTW activity apply to all HCV tenant-based units and properties with project-based vouchers? |
| The MTW activity applies to all tenant-based units |
| Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation. |

We were unable to implement.

Does this MTW activity require a hardship policy?

Provided Already

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

Does the MTW activity require an impact analysis?

Provided Already

What percentage of income will equal the Total Tenant Payment (TTP)?

27.50%

What is the income basis for calculating Total Tenant Payment?

This activity uses a different definition of income because we are using the following MTW waivers (check all that apply)

1.r. and/or 1.s. "elimination of deductions"; 1.v. and/or 1.w "alternative inclusions and exclusions"

1.n. - Utility Reimbursements (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

The RHA eliminated utility reimbursement payments when the utility allowance is greater than the TTP. The RHA continues to provide utility reimbursement payments to FYI voucher holders.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Cost effectiveness; Self-sufficiency

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Increased revenue; Decreased expenditures

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies only to a subset or subsets of assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply only to new admissions, only to currently assisted households, or to both new admissions and currently assisted households?

New admissions and currently assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply to all family types or only to selected family types?

The MTW activity applies only to selected family types

Please select the family types subject to this MTW activity.

Non-elderly, non-disabled families; Elderly families; Disabled families (to the extent those families are not exempt via a

reasonable accommodation)

Does the MTW activity apply to all HCV tenant-based units and properties with project-based vouchers?

The MTW activity applies to all tenant-based units

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

This waiver reduced the administrative burden on stopping payments on families who do not cash their UR check and calling families back and reissuing checks that were never cashed. The additional HAP has been used to supplement the salary of the Inspector.

1.o. - Initial Rent Burden (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

The RHA is continuing to keep the initial rent burden at 45% to allow greater housing choice for the families

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Self-sufficiency; Housing choice

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Neutral (no cost implications)

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies only to a subset or subsets of assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply only to new admissions, only to currently assisted households, or to both new admissions and currently assisted households?

New admissions and currently assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply to all family types or only to selected family types?

The MTW activity applies only to selected family types

Please select the family types subject to this MTW activity.

Non-elderly, non-disabled families; Elderly families; Disabled families (to the extent those families are not exempt via a reasonable accommodation)

Does the MTW activity apply to all HCV tenant-based units and properties with project-based vouchers?

The MTW activity applies to all tenant-based units

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

The increase continues to keep our voucher success rate above 90%.

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

Does the MTW activity require an impact analysis?

No

No document is attached.

If the MTW agency plans to implement a new maximum income-based rent percentage (higher than 40% of adjusted monthly income), what is that maximum?

45.00%

1.s. - Elimination of Deduction(s) (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

The RHA plans to eliminate dependent deduction and child care allowances

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Cost effectiveness; Self-sufficiency

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Increased revenue

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies only to a subset or subsets of assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply only to new admissions, only to currently assisted households, or to both new admissions and currently assisted households?

New admissions and currently assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply to all family types or only to selected family types?

The MTW activity applies only to selected family types

Please select the family types subject to this MTW activity.

Non-elderly, non-disabled families

Does the MTW activity apply to all HCV tenant-based units and properties with project-based vouchers?

The MTW activity applies to all tenant-based units

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

Not able to implement

Does this MTW activity require a hardship policy?

Provided Already

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

Does the MTW activity require an impact analysis?

Provided Already

Which deduction(s) will be eliminated, modified, or added?

Dependent allowance; Unreimbursed childcare costs

1.w. - Alternative Income Inclusions/Exclusions (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

The HA will continue to eliminate assets and income earned from assets when calculating rent for Re-exams.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Cost effectiveness

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Neutral (no cost implications)

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies to all assisted households

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

The asset income is only used to eligibility but not for existing participants. It saves time collecting information for something most of our families do not have.

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

What inclusions or exclusions will be eliminated, modified, or added?

Assets and Asset income

2.a. - Payment Standards- Small Area Fair Market Rents (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

The RHA created 5 payment standards for Fort Bend County based on 80% to 150% of SAFMRs

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Self-sufficiency; Housing choice

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Increased expenditures

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies to all assisted households

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

Applies to all families but VASH because field office has not approved.

The result has been an influx of port ins into the agency and an increase in landlord participation with the program. It has also resulted in more rent negotiation for reasonable rents.

Does this MTW activity require a hardship policy?

Provided Already

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

Does the MTW activity require an impact analysis?

No

No document is attached.

Please explain the payment standards by ZIP code or "grouped" ZIP codes:

Tier A: 77471 77451 77461 77469 77476

Tier B: 77478 77481

Tier C: 77459 77406 77407 77479 77498 77545

Tier D: 77417 77485

Tier E: 77477 77441

3.b. - Alternative Reexamination Schedule for Households (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

All participants were changed to 3 year reexaminations.

Interims will continue as approved last year:

Increases - only if TTP is less than or equal to minimum rent, or earned income is less than \$10,000 x number of adults in family. With the limit increasing by \$250 each year.

Decreases - one per year for a decrease greater than 20% and only after 6 months of initial occupance (including port-ins) or 6 months after a move.

Interims will not be conducted if the family is currently in the re-examination process but will be implemented at the annual. IF the family reports a decrease when a voucher is issued, the voucher will be suspended as the HA re-calculates income and rent estimation and then time is provided back.

If the family reports an increase during a move, the increase will be done 2 months after the move if applicable.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Self-sufficiency

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on

| |
|---|
| what you know today. |
| Decreased expenditures |
| Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households? |
| The MTW activity applies to all assisted households |
| Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation. |
| No changes from last year. Will remain the same. We require families to always report and the RHA will determine whether the report will result in an interim change. |
| Case managers' time processing re-exams has greatly decreased due to the 3 year re-exam. |
| Does this MTW activity require a hardship policy? |
| Provided Already |
| Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described? |
| No |
| Does the MTW activity require an impact analysis? |
| Provided Already |
| What is the recertification schedule? |
| Once every three years |
| How many interim recertifications per year may a household request? |
| 1 |
| Please describe briefly how the MTW agency plans to address changes in family/household circumstances under the alternative reexamination schedule. |
| The family is required to report changes in family composition. A change in family composition is not counted against the 1 interim requirement. Families must report when a family member has turned 18. |

| |
|---|
| 5.d. - Alternative Inspection Schedule (HCV) |
| Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative |
| The HA will continue with triennial inspections only after a family has passed their initial 1 year inspection and first biennial inspection on the first visit. This is only applicable if no tenant based deficiencies. |
| Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve? |
| Cost effectiveness |
| What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today. |
| Decreased expenditures |
| Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households? |

The MTW activity applies to all assisted households

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

This activity has resulted in 148 participants being able to take advantage of a triennial inspection.

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

| | |
|------------|---|
| D. | Safe Harbor Waivers. |
| D.1 | <p>Will the MTW agency submit request for approval of a Safe Harbor Waiver this year?</p> <p>No Safe Harbor Waivers are being requested.</p> |

| E. | Agency-Specific Waiver(s). | | | | |
|------------|---|-------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| E.1 | <p>Agency-Specific Waiver(s) for HUD Approval:</p> <p>The MTW demonstration program is intended to foster innovation and HUD encourages MTW agencies, in consultation with their residents and stakeholders, to be creative in their approach to solving affordable housing issues facing their local communities. For this reason, flexibilities beyond those provided for in Appendix I may be needed. Agency-Specific Waivers may be requested if an MTW agency wishes to implement additional activities, or waive a statutory and/or regulatory requirement not included in Appendix I.</p> <p>In order to pursue an Agency-Specific Waiver, an MTW agency must include an Agency-Specific Waiver request, an impact analysis, and a hardship policy (as applicable), and respond to all of the mandatory core questions as applicable.</p> <p>For each Agency-Specific Waiver(s) request, please upload supporting documentation, that includes: a) a full description of the activity, including what the agency is proposing to waive (i.e., statute, regulation, and/or Operations Notice), b) how the initiative achieves one or more of the 3 MTW statutory objectives, c) a description of which population groups and household types that will be impacted by this activity, d) any cost implications associated with the activity, e) an implementation timeline for the initiative, f) an impact analysis, g) a description of the hardship policy for the initiative, and h) a copy of all comments received at the public hearing along with the MTW agency's description of how the comments were considered, as a required attachment to the MTW Supplement.</p> <p>Will the MTW agency submit a request for approval of an Agency-Specific Waiver this year? Yes</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="214 1243 1523 1339"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="214 1243 863 1289">Title</th> <th data-bbox="863 1243 1523 1289">Supporting Documents Attached</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="214 1289 863 1339"></td> <td data-bbox="863 1289 1523 1339">5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Title | Supporting Documents Attached | | 5 |
| Title | Supporting Documents Attached | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | |

| | |
|-----|---|
| | |
| E.2 | <p>Agency-Specific Waiver(s) for which HUD Approval has been Received:</p> <p>Does the MTW agency have any approved Agency-Specific Waivers? No</p> |

| | |
|-----------|--|
| F. | Public Housing Operating Subsidy Grant Reporting. |
| F.1 | Total Public Housing Operating subsidy amount authorized, disbursed by 9/30, remaining, and deadline for disbursement, by Federal Fiscal Year for each year the PHA is designated an MTW agency. |

| Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) | Total Operating Subsidy Authorized Amount | How Much PHA Disbursed by the 9/30 Reporting Period | Remaining Not Yet Disbursed | Deadline |
|---------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|----------|
|---------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|----------|

| | | |
|------------|---|---|
| G. | MTW Statutory Requirements. | |
| G.1 | 75% Very Low Income – Local, Non-Traditional. HUD will verify compliance with the statutory requirement that at least 75% of the households assisted by the MTW agency are very low-income for MTW public housing units and MTW HCVs through HUD systems. The MTW PHA must provide data for the actual families housed upon admission during the PHA's most recently completed Fiscal Year for its Local, Non-Traditional program households. | |
| | Income Level | Number of Local, Non-Traditional Households Admitted in the Fiscal Year* |
| | 80%-50% Area Median Income | |
| | 49%-30% Area Median Income | |
| | Below 30% Area Median Income | |
| | Total Local, Non-Traditional Households | 0 |

*Local, non-traditional income data must be provided in the MTW Supplement form until such time that it can be submitted in IMS-PIC or other HUD system.

| | |
|--|---|
| G.2 | Establishing Reasonable Rent Policy. |
| <p>Has the MTW agency established a rent reform policy to encourage employment and self-sufficiency? No</p> <p>Please describe the MTW agency's plans for its future rent reform activity and the implementation timeline.</p> | |

| | |
|---|---|
| G.3 | Substantially the Same (STS) – Local, Non-Traditional. |
| The total number of unit months that families were housed in a local, non-traditional rental subsidy for the prior full calendar year. | # of unit months |
| The total number of unit months that families were housed in a local, non-traditional housing development program for the prior full calendar year. | # of unit months |

Number of units developed under the local, non-traditional housing development activity that were available for occupancy during the prior full calendar year:

| PROPERTY NAME/ ADDRESS | 0/1 BR | 2 BR | 3 BR | 4 BR | 5 BR | 6+ BR | TOTAL UNITS | POPULATION TYPE* | if 'Population Type' is Other | # of Section 504 Accessible (Mobility)** | # of Section 504 Accessible (Hearing/ Vision) | Was this Property Made Available for Initial Occupancy during the Prior Full Calendar Year? | What was the Total Amount of MTW Funds Invested into the Property? |
|------------------------|--------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
|------------------------|--------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|

| | |
|---|--|
| G.4 | Comparable Mix (by Family Size) – Local, Non-Traditional. |
| To demonstrate compliance with the statutory requirement to continue serving a 'comparable mix" of families by family | |

size to that which would have been served without MTW, the MTW agency will provide the number of families occupying local, non-traditional units by household size for the most recently completed Fiscal Year in the provided table.

| Family Size: | Occupied Number of Local, Non-Traditional units by Household Size |
|--------------|---|
| 1 Person | |
| 2 Person | |
| 3 Person | |
| 4 Person | |
| 5 Person | |
| 6+ Person | |
| Totals | 0 |

| | |
|-----------|-----------------------|
| H. | Public Comment |
|-----------|-----------------------|

Attached you will find a copy of all of the comments received and a description of how the agency analyzed the comments, as well as any decisions made based on those comments.

Please see attached for an additional public hearing held for Agency-Specific Waiver(s) and/or Safe Harbor Waiver(s)

| | |
|-----------|---------------------|
| I. | Evaluations. |
|-----------|---------------------|

No known evaluations.